| OA | Target | Priority | Key <br> Stage/Ph <br> ase | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Somerset | Increase the proportion of children achieving a good level of development at the end of the early years foundation stage to at least $70 \%$, so it is above the current national average. This will mean around 40 more children in West Somerset will achieve a good level of development. |  | 1 EYFS | Progress/Attainment |
| West Somerset | Increase take up, so that at least $80 \%$ of disadvantaged two year olds access early education, which is well above the current national rate of take up |  | 1 EYFS | Enrolment |
| West <br> Somerset | At least $85 \%$ of children will meet the expected standards in phonics in all schools in West Somerset. Based on current numbers this would mean up to 30 more children reaching the standard |  | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |
| West <br> Somerset | The proportion of children reaching the expected standard in reading, writing and maths outcomes at key stage 1 will put West Somerset in the top half of the country, and the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and all pupils will be half what it was in September 2017 |  | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |
| West <br> Somerset | expected standard in reading outcomes at key stage 1 |  | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |
| West <br> Somerset | reading outcomes at KS1 attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and all pupils |  | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |


| West Somerset | expected standard in writing outcomes at key stage 1 | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West <br> Somerset | writing outcomes at KS1 attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and all pupils | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |
| West <br> Somerset | expected standard in maths outcomes at key stage 1 | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |
| West Somerset | maths outcomes at KS1 attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and all pupils | 2 KS1 | Progress/Attainment |
| West <br> Somerset | Outcomes at key stage $\mathbf{2}$ will be in the top half of the country and ... | 2 KS2 | Progress/Attainment |

West we will close the gap in West Somerset between ..... KS1
Somerset disadvantaged pupils and all pupils s for key stage1
West We will increase the percentage of young people ..... 3 16-19

Somerset achieving level three qualifications such as A levels, at age nineteen and close the gap between West Somerset and Somerset in both academic and vocational qualifications. By 2020/21 we want achievement in West Somerset to equal the strong results already being achieved in the best performing parts of Somerset


West The proportion of young people progressing to Somerset higher education will put West Somerset in the top half of the country

West We will increase apprenticeship starts so start Somerset rates are as high, or better than, the rest of Somerset and so completion rates are as high, or better than, the rest or Somerset.

416-19 Destination
(Apprenticeships)

| Absolute or relative? | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | \|2017 <br> baseline | 2021 target | Equivale nt \# pupils* | Total Number of pupils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relative | All children: 56.7\% | All children: $65.2 \%$ | $70 \%$ | 16 children based on 2017 cohort (to get to 70.7\%) | Total number of children: 300 |
| Relative | January 2017 <br> figures: <br> Rate for <br> 2yld: 69\% |  | 80\% | about 11 <br> children based on 2017 eligible cohort. | Eligible <br> 2yld: 100 |
| Absolute | All children: 74\% | All <br> children: $73 \%$ | 85\% | 34 children (the WS rate has dropped since last year-so more than 30 now) | Total number of children: 282 |

Absolute,
Relative

| Absolute, Relative | Rank: 296 <br> WS: 68\% <br> NAT: 74\% | Rank: 268 <br> WS: 72\% <br> NAT: 76\% | 163 or below | 10 <br> children (assuming national median stays at 76\%) | All children: 266 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute, Relative | FSM 50\% <br> All pupils: <br> 68\% <br> gap: <br> 18ppts <br> (non-FSM <br> 69\%) | FSM 62\% <br> All pupils: <br> 72\% <br> gap: <br> 10ppts <br> (non-FSM <br> 73\%) | 5ppts using 2017 gap | 1 child using 2017 data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FSM: } 26 \\ & \text { Non-FSM: } \\ & 240 \end{aligned}$ |


| Absolute, Relative | Rank: 248 <br> WS: 62\% <br> NAT: 65\% | Rank: 204 <br> WS: 67\% <br> NAT: 68\% | 163 or below | 6 children (assuming national median stays at 69\%) | All children: 266 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute, Relative | FSM 50\% <br> All pupils: <br> 62\% <br> gap: <br> 12ppts <br> (non-FSM <br> 64\%) | FSM 50\% <br> All pupils: <br> 67\% <br> gap: <br> 17ppts <br> (non-FSM <br> 69\%) | 8ppts using <br> 2017 gap | 3 children <br> using <br> 2017 data | FSM: 26 <br> Non-FSM: <br> 240 |
| Absolute, Relative | Rank: 286 <br> WS: 67\% <br> NAT:73\% | Rank: 135 <br> WS: 76\% <br> NAT: 75\% | 163 or below | N/A - <br> already <br> met | All children: $266$ |
| Absolute, Relative | FSM 46\% <br> All pupils: <br> 67\% <br> gap: <br> 21ppts <br> (non-FSM <br> 69\%) | FSM 73\% <br> All pupils: <br> 76\% <br> gap: 3ppts <br> (non-FSM <br> 77\%) | 1ppts using 2017 gap | 1 child using 2017 data | wf |
| Relative | Rank: 283 <br> score: <br> 47\% | Rank: 317 <br> score: <br> 50\% | 163 or below | 33 extra pupils achieveing the RWM expected standard (assuming number of pupils the same and national all pupil median still at 62\%) |  |

Relative \begin{tabular}{lll}
level 3 at \\
$19=$ \& $19=$ \\
$49.8 \%$ \& $51.9 \%$

 

265 pupils \\
\\
\end{tabular}

$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Relative } & \text { WS: } & \text { WS: } & \text { 31\% to close } & \text { 12 pupils to } & \text { WS: } 265 \\ \text { close gap } & \text { SOMERSET: } \\ & \text { 25.2\% } & 27.1 \% & \text { gap with LA } & \begin{array}{l}\text { with LA. }\end{array} & 5,410\end{array}\right)$

2017 national average was 70.7\%
target here is based on the overall percentage - but the target implies this will occur in every school which may be more difficult to achieve

Note this refers to 'all pupils' but the attainment gap would normally be fsm to non-fsm

I have taken the \% achieving the expected RWM level. 2016 median: 54\%, 2017 median: 62\%

Do we mean to compare disadvantaged pupils to all pupils, or should the comparitor be non-disadvantaged pupils? Is closing the gap mean reducing it or it being a zero gap? This will need to be a FSM measure not disadvantage if looking at KS1.

Note this is using the PT data for west somerset college as oppose to the LAD data we have for OAs (as that is all pupils who went to school aged 16 in WS)

Numbers not published - rounded percentages used as a proxy so not accurate

Use destination measure as a proxy for apprenticeships starts

Destination measures was not used for this target - need to confirm with WS what the start rate calculated was, starts in 14/15 were 300 and in 15/16 330 - but that is a total number not a rate.

